



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/698,060	10/30/2003	Joseph L. Aultman	20009.0216US01(030164)	7764
45695	7590	01/31/2008	EXAMINER	
WITHERS & KEYS FOR BELL SOUTH			FEARER, MARK D	
P. O. BOX 71355			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MARIETTA, GA 30007-1355			2143	
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
01/31/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/698,060	AULTMAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mark D. Fearer	2143

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Mark D. Fearer. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Ted Naeckel. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 28 January 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Padovano (US patent 6606690), Wang et al. (US patent 6783367).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Padovano teaches a first network and a second network. The Examiner used Wang et al. to teach a third network in combination with Padovano's first and second networks. Applicant argues that nowhere does Wang et al. teach a third network in communication with a first network. Examiner reads claim 21 of Wang et al. reference as teaching the third network to be in communication with at least the first network through a server.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Mark D. Fearer  
Examiner's signature, if required